1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

JAMES KELLEMS,

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

1920

2122

23

24

26

25

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * * *

Plaintiff,

3:10-cr-00148-LRH-WGC

<u>ORDER</u>

Defendant.

Before the court is petitioner James Kellems' motion to withdraw (ECF No. 54) his motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (ECF No. 47). The United States has not responded.

As an initial matter, the court is unconvinced that FRCP 41 is the correct procedural mechanism for a petitioner voluntarily withdrawing a section 2255 motion. By referring to the dismissal of an *action*, it is clear that FRCP 41 contemplates a plaintiff voluntarily withdrawing his entire suit. 9 Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 2362 (3d ed.). While some courts have concluded that the rule also extends to partially withdrawing an action (e.g., voluntarily dismissing only some of the causes of actions), *see id.*, Kellems has not cited any authority holding that FRCP 41 applies to voluntarily withdrawing an individual *motion*. Nonetheless, the rule's likely inapplicability to section 2255 motions does not preclude a petitioner from withdrawing his motion; parties routinely seek to withdraw various kinds of motions they file during the course of both civil and criminal litigation, and it is within the court's discretion to allow a motion's withdrawal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The court will exercise that discretion and allow Kellems to withdraw his section 2255 motion. In granting this motion to withdraw, the court makes no determination as to whether a new section 2255 motion that Kellems potentially files in the future would constitute a second or successive motion and therefore require certification from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals before being filed in U.S. District Court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h); see also Thai v. United States, 391 F.3d 491 (2d Cir. 2004) (discussing the standard other circuits have applied in determining whether a withdrawn section 2255 motion "count[s] as a first [petition] so as to render subsequent petitions 'second or successive' under AEDPA."). IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner James Kellems' motion to withdraw (ECF No. 54) his motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is **GRANTED**. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Kellems' motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (ECF No. 47) is WITHDRAWN. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 13th day of July, 2017. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE